## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

UNITED STATES COURTS SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FILED JAN 1 8 2006

Michael N. Milby, Clark of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	§	
	Ş	
Plaintiff,	§	
v. ·	§	CIVIL ACTION NO. H-
	§	
\$107,096.00 (ONE HUNDRED	§	H - 06 - 0194
SEVEN THOUSAND, NINETY-	§	
SIX DOLLARS) IN UNITED	§	
STATES CURRENCY	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

# **COMPLAINT FOR FORFEITURE IN REM**

The United States of America, Plaintiff, alleges on information and belief that:

- 1. This is a civil action in rem brought to enforce the provisions of:
- a. 18 U.S.C. § 981(a), which provides for the forfeiture of any property, including money, involved in a transaction or attempted transaction in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1956, 1957 or 1960, or any property traceable to such property.

In addition, proceeds of specified unlawful activity are specifically subject to forfeiture under applicable statutes, including 18 U.S.C. §1956(a)(1)(B)(ii), which provides for the forfeiture of proceeds obtained from a transaction designed in whole or in part to avoid a transaction reporting requirement under state or federal law.

b. 31 U.S.C. § 5317(c), which provides for the forfeiture of any property

involved in a violation of 31 U.S.C. §§ 5313, 5316, or 5324, or any conspiracy to commit any such violation, and any property traceable to any such violation or conspiracy; and

c. 18 U.S.C. § 984, which provides for the forfeiture of fungible property, including cash, found in the same place as the property involved in the offense, when the action is commenced within one year as more fully set out in Section 984.

## Jurisdiction and Venue

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1345 and 1355. The defendant money will remain within the jurisdiction of this Court during the pendency of this action. Venue is proper in the Southern District of Texas under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391 and 1395.

# **Defendant Property**

3. The defendant money consists of \$107,096.00 in United States currency (hereinafter "defendant property") which was seized during the execution of a search warrant at RM Global, Inc., d/b/a International Money Transfer (hereinafter "IMT"), in Houston, Texas.

## Factual Basis for Forfeiture

4. Rahman Moton a/k/a Rehman Moton (hereinafter "Moton") is the owner and

operator of IMT which has its principal place of business at the premises located in a strip-mall at 5636 Hillcroft, Houston, Texas.

- 5. IMT is a Texas licensed money remitter. Moton applied for the license with the State of Texas on or about December 31, 2003. As part of the application, Moton signed an oath of license by which Moton agreed "to comply with the currency reporting and record-keeping requirements of 31 U.S.C., Section 5313, 31 C.F.R. Part 103, and other relevant state and federal law."
- 6. On or about April 9, 2003, February 29, 2004, and March 8, 2005, Moton signed a Texas Department of Banking Renewal Form for Currency Exchange, Transportation or Transmission License. The renewal form has the same oath of license as the original license application and lists a statement confirming compliance with Section 153.102 of the Texas Finance Code. As a currency transmitter, IMT is subject to certain reporting and record keeping requirements for transactions of \$3,000.00 and greater.
- 7. IMT is a financial institution which must be registered with the Financial Crime Enforcement Network (FinCEN) under 31 U.S.C. § 5312(a)(2)(R). IMT is required to file Currency Transaction Reports (CTRs) for cash transactions in amounts greater than \$10,000.00. Based on documents obtained from the business operations of IMT, IMT received in excess of approximately \$12,502,963 during the

period from about January 20, 2005, through August 10, 2005. During that same period, neither Moton nor anyone on behalf of IMT filed a CTR as required by law.

- 8. Documents recovered from Moton's residence included tiny slips of paper which Moton attempted to hide in the furniture. The slips of paper contain the dates of transactions conducted by IMT from July 27, 2005 through August 9, 2005; amounts of currency involved in the transactions; and names and telephone numbers of persons associated with transactions. The amounts listed on the documents total approximately \$256,427.00. Law enforcement agents attempted to contact the persons listed on the slips of paper in order to verify the information appearing on the slips of paper. The majority of the telephone numbers listed on the slips of paper had been disconnected or did not belong to the person named on the slips of paper. Many of the addresses listed on the slips of paper either did not exist or were abandoned property. Some of the individuals listed on the slips of paper had left the residence listed on the slips of paper over one year prior to the alleged transaction. Several individuals who were contacted confirmed that the information contained on the slips of paper was false in that they did not send currency on the dates or to the locations listed on the slips of paper which bore their name as the sender.
- 9. The pattern of Moton and IMT's unlawful activities are further evidenced by a series of money transactions from on or about July 13, 2004, through on or about

June 20, 2005. On or about July 13, 2004, Moton received \$20,000 in United States currency. The \$20,000 in currency less transaction and bank fees was received in Kurachi, Pakistan on or about July 19, 2004. The transaction log and receipts maintained by IMT failed to enter any receipts in excess of \$10,000 during the period from July 13, 2004, through July 19, 2004. Neither Moton nor anyone on behalf of IMT filed a CTR for this transaction.

- 10. On or about August 12, 2004, Moton received \$20,000 in United States currency. Moton did not obtain any form of identification from the person who gave him the \$20,000. The \$20,000 in currency less transaction and bank fees was received in Kurachi, Pakistan on or about August 18, 2004. The transaction log and receipts maintained by IMT failed to enter any receipts in excess of \$10,000 during the period from August 12, 2004, through August 18, 2004. Neither Moton nor anyone on behalf of IMT filed a CTR for this transaction.
- 11. On September 16, 2004, Moton received \$30,000 in United States currency. Moton did not obtain any form of identification from the person who gave him the \$30,000. The currency consisted of \$21,000 dollars in cash and a cashier's check in the amount of \$9,000. The \$30,000 in currency less transaction and bank fees was received in Kurachi, Pakistan on or about October 5, 2004. The transaction log and receipts maintained by IMT failed to enter any receipts in excess of \$10,000

during the period from September 16, 2004, through October 5, 2004. Neither Moton nor anyone on behalf of IMT filed a CTR for this transaction.

- 12. On March 2, 2005, Moton received \$20,000 in United States currency. Moton did not obtain any form of identification from the person who gave him the \$20,000. The \$20,000 in currency less transaction and bank fees was received in Kurachi, Pakistan on or about March 16, 2005. The transaction log and receipts maintained by IMT failed to enter any receipts in excess of \$10,000 during the period from March 2, 2005, through March 16, 2005. Neither Moton nor anyone on behalf of IMT filed a CTR for this transaction.
- 13. On May 26, 2005, a person seeking to transfer \$3,000 to Pakistan met with an IMT employee. The employee instructed the sender to send \$2,500 and to come back in a few days to send the remaining \$500. In addition to instructing the person about how to structure the \$3,000 transfer to Pakistan, the employee conducted the financial transaction without using any identification information about the sender such as the sender's date of birth or social security number, thus violating the federal currency transmission requirements and the federal anti-money laundering program requirements.
- 14. On June 20, 2005, a person seeking to transfer \$3,000 to Pakistan met with an IMT employee. The employee instructed the sender to send \$2,500 and to

come back in a few days to send the remaining \$500. In addition to instructing the person about how to structure the \$3,000 transfer to Pakistan, the employee conducted the financial transaction without using any identification information about the sender such as the sender's date of birth or social security number, thus violating the federal currency transmission requirements and the federal anti-money laundering program requirements.

- 15. Documents recovered by law enforcement concerning IMT activities confirm that IMT conducted financial transactions, in cash, without reporting those transactions as required by law. In the past year, reporting violations and financial transactions conducted by IMT far exceed the amount of the defendant property.
- 16. Moton and others agents acting on behalf of IMT knowingly violated the currency reporting requirements of federal law.
- 17. The defendant property is subject to forfeiture to the United States pursuant to 21 U.S.C. §881, 18 U.S.C. § 981(a), 31 U.S.C. § 5317, and/or 18 U.S.C. § 984. WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays:
- 1. Summons issue according to the normal procedure of this Court citing all persons having an interest in the above described defendant currency to appear on the return day of process by filing a claim and answer pursuant to Rule C(6), Supplemental Rules for Certain Admiralty and Maritime Claims, or as ordered by the

## Court;

- 2. That warrant of arrest issue commanding the arrest of the defendant property;
- 3. That judgment of forfeiture to the United States be decreed against the defendant property; and
- 4. For costs and such other and further relief to which plaintiff may be entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

**CHUCK ROSENBERG** UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

Ву:

Gerald Doyle

Assistant U.S. Attorney Admission ID No. 1453

TX SBN 06091500

910 Travis, Suite 1500

P.O. Box 61129

Houston, Texas 77208

Phone: 713-567-9599

Fax: 713-718-3300

#### **VERIFICATION**

I, Heather Hanka, am a Special Agent with the United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement. I have read the foregoing Complaint for Forfeiture In Rem.

The facts set forth therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Leatha Llake

Heather Hanka Special Agent

U. S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement

Sworn to and subscribed before me, the undersigned authority, on this <u>M</u> day of January, 2006.

Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

My Commission expires: Lanuary 13, 2007



#### JS 44 (Rev. 3/99) Case 4:06-cv-00194 Doc ( TOTALL COATE) 1/18/06 Page 10 of 10 The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in States required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE TISTRUCTIONS ON THEREIVERSE THE COURT OF THE RESERVERSE THE COURT OF ber 1974, is DEFENDANT I. (a) PLAINTIFFS \$107,096.00 (ONE HUNDRED SEVEN THOUSAND UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NINETY-SIX DOLLARS) IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY County of Residence of First Listed County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. UNITED STATES COURTS SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorney'S (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) FILED. Gerald Dovle David Gerger United States Attorney's Office 700 Louisiana St., Suite 2300 JAN 18 2006 Houston, Texas 77002 P.O. Box 61129, Houston, Texas 77208 (713) 224-4400 (713) 567-9599 BU M. Milby, Clark of College III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) DEE DEF Citizen of This State Incorporated or Principal Place **□4** □ 3 Federal Question $\Box 1$ $\Box$ 1 $\Box 4$ □ 1 U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State Plaintiff Incorporated and Principal Place □ 5 Citizen of Another State 2 $\square$ 2 $\Box$ 5 □ 2 U.S Government □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties of Business In Another State Defendant in Item III) □6 Citizen or Subject of a $\square 3$ Foreign Nation $\Box$ 6 Foreign Country (Place an "X" in One Box Only) IV. NATURE OF SUIT **OTHER STATUTES** CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY ☐ 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 400 State Reapportionment 610 Agriculture 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury ☐ 120 Marine 620 Other Food & Drug 410 Antitrust Med. Malpractice ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure ☐ 423 Withdrawal ☐ 315 Airplane Product П 130 Miller Act 430 Banks and Banking $\bar{\Box}$ Liability □ 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 140 Negotiable Instrument 28 USC 157 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. Product Liability Assault, Libel & Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 320 630 Liquor Laws 150 460 Deportation $\Box$ □ 368 PROPERTY RIGHTS Asbestos Personal Slander 640 R.R & Truck Injury Product 470 Racketeer Influenced and 330 Federal Employers Medicare Act 650 Airline Regs. 820 Copyrights Liability Corrupt Organizations Liability Recovery of Defaulted 660 Occupational □ 152 PERSONAL PROPERTY 830 Patent 340 Marine □ 810 Selective Service Safety/Health Student Loans (Excl. 840 Trademark ☐ 370 Other Fraud 345 Marine Product 850 Securities/Commodities/ Veterans) 690 Other ☐ 371 Truth in Lending □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability Exchange LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 380 Other Personal of Veteran's Benefits 350 Motor Vehicle Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 □ 875 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage 710 Fair Labor Standards П □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 385 Property Damage Product Liability 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 190 Other Contract 862 Black Lung (923) Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 360 Other Personal Injury 892 Economic Stabilization Act 195 Contract Product Liability □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 893 Environmental Matters REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIONS 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act & Disclosure Act 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate □ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act 865 RSI (405(g)) Sentence 442 Employment □ 220 Foreclosure 740 Railway Labor Act Habeas Corpus: Appeal of Fee Determination 443 Housing/ FEDERAL TAX SUITS 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 530 General Under Equal Access to Accommodations 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 240 Torts to Land П 535 Death Penalty 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Justice ☐ 444 Welfare □ 245 Tort Product Liability 540 Mandamus & Other or Defendant) 950 Constitutionality of State 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 440 Other Civil Rights 290 All Other Real Property IRS - Third Party $\Box$ 550 Civil Rights Security Act Statutes 26 USC 7609 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions 555 Prison Condition Appeal to District (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) V. ORIGIN Transferred from another district Judge from □ 4 □ 5 Multidistrict ⊠ 1 □ 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from Reinstated or Original (specify) Magistrate Litigation State Court Appellate Court Reopened Proceeding Judgment (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write brief statement of cause. VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) 18 U.S.C. 981(a), 31 U.S.C 5317(c) & 18 U.S.C. 984 CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: VII. REQUESTED IN DEMAND \$ UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 **COMPLAINT:** JURY DEMAND: ☐ Yes VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY DOCKET NUMBER JUDGE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD DATE Gerald Doyle 01-18-2006

JUDGE

APPLYING IFP

MAG. JUDGE

RECEIPT # AMOUNT
This form was electronically produced by Elite Federal Forms, Inc.

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY